Interview Summary	09/852,222	ALCALY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel S. Felten	3693
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Daniel S. Felten</u> .	(3) <u>Timothy Rudderow</u> .	·
(2) <u>David Leason (Reg. No. 36,195)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>05 September 2007</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Melnikoff and Sperandeo</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	N/A.
asset value feature. It was also suggested to amend claim components of the return index. The suggested amendment subject to further search. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTROL OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTAN	dments which the examiner accopy of the amendments that ed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM ERVIEW. See Summary of Research	greed would render the claims would render the claims E SUBSTANCE OF THE y been filed, APPLICANT IS TY DAYS FROM THIS, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO ecord of Interview
	EXD. AU Busi	ANIEL FELTER 3693 nos hethros
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)